

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JUSTIN A. KURPIEL,

Plaintiff

Case No. 2:24-cv-00203-RFB-BNW

ORDER

v.

DR. AVRAM AND DOE DIRECTOR OF
NURSING,

Defendants

I. DISCUSSION

On March 30, 2025, the Court filed a screening order and ordered Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint curing the deficiencies of the first amended complaint. (ECF No. 17 at 7–8.) If he chooses not to file a second amended complaint by **June 12, 2025**, the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice for failure to state a claim.

However, according to the Nevada Department of Corrections (“NDOC”) inmate database, Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated. And he has not filed an updated address with this Court. The Court notes that pursuant to Nevada Local Rule of Practice IA 3-1, a “pro se party must immediately file with the court written notification of any change of mailing address, email address, telephone number, or facsimile number. The notification must include proof of service on each opposing party or the party’s attorney. Failure to comply with this rule may result in the dismissal of the action, entry of default judgment, or other sanctions as deemed appropriate by the court.” Nev. Loc. R. IA 3-1. This Court grants Plaintiff until **June 12, 2025**, to file his updated address with this Court. If Plaintiff does not update the Court with his current address by **June 12, 2025**, this case will be subject to dismissal without prejudice.

Additionally, because Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated, the Court now directs him to file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by a non-prisoner by **June 12, 2025**, pay the full filing fee of \$405.

///

